Attorney Docket No.: SSL0080 US PCT

Application No.: 10/511,040 Examiner: Cho, Jennifer Y.

Remarks

Election/Restrictions

In the Office Action, the Examiner has noted that claims 1-6 and 8-20 are subject to restriction (however, the Examiner has mistakenly noted that claims 1-20 are pending in the application and are subject to restriction and/or election requirement). In particular, the Examiner has given a seven-way restriction in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 as follows:

Inventions	Class/Sub-class
Group I. Claims 1-3, 8 and 11-14 drawn to a compound of, and composition	564/various
with, formula (I).	
Group II. Claim 4 drawn to a process of preparing formula (I).	514/various
Group III. Claims 5-6 drawn to compounds of formula (IIa).	560/various
Group IV. Claims 9-10 and 15-20, where the method is treating psychotic	514/various
disorders.	
Group V. Claims 9-10 and 15-20, where the method is treating memory and	514/various
cognitive disorders.	
Group VI Claims 9-10 and 15-20, where the method is treating appetite	514/various
disorders and obesity.	
Group VII Claims 9-10 and 15-20, where the method is treating tobacco or	514/various
alcohol withdrawal.	

As indicated above, through this response, Applicants provisionally elect invention Group I with traverse, namely, claims 1-3, 8 and 11-14, drawn to a compound of, and composition with, formula (I). In addition, as noted by the Examiner, Applicants further elect provisionally with traverse a sub-generic species falling within the scope of invention Group I to be a compound of formula I, wherein R_2 is a group NR_9R_{10} . A single compound within the scope of this subgeneric species is compound no. 1 found in Table 2 in the specification at page 22. Please note that all of elected claims 1-3, 8 and 11-14 read on this elected subgeneric species. Examiner's imposition of seven-way restriction is respectfully traversed below.

Applicants respectfully submit that this seven-way restriction as imposed by the Examiner is improper based on the following grounds. In particular, Applicants respectfully submit that the SSL0080 US PCT

-2 of 4-

Attorney Docket No.: SSL0080 US PCT

Application No.: 10/511,040

Examiner: Cho, Jennifer Y.

search of all of the claims 1-6 and 8-20 should not impose any undue burden on the Examiner,

which is quite clear from the Table as summarized above. Applicants' assertion is based on the fact

that all of invention Groups II and IV to VII are in the same class of 514. Furthermore, invention

Group I is listed in class 564 and invention Group III is listed in a related class of 560.

More specifically, invention Groups I and III are directed respectively to a class of

compounds of formula (I) and (IIa). Even more specifically, compounds of formula (IIa) are

precursor compounds to compounds of formula (I), i.e., compounds of formula (IIa) are esters

which can be employed to make compounds of formula (I) which are amides. Invention Group II is

directed to preparation of compounds of formula (II) which are carboxylic acids and are again

precursors to compounds of formula (I). Finally, invention Groups IV to VII are directed to various

methods of treatment using a class of compounds of formula (I). More importantly, for the purpose

of searches, as we noted above, all of the invention Groups II and IV to VII are in the same class of

514 as evident from the above summarized Table. Similarly, as we noted above, invention Groups I

and III are more or less in similar classes: 564 and 560 respectively. Thus it is submitted that when

the Examiner is searching for one invention group, that itself may facilitate the search of other

invention groups. Thus, it should not impose any undue burden on the Examiner to search all

inventions together. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that all inventions be rejoined and

examined together.

In addition, Applicants note that the election was made with the understanding that, if the

elected species is found allowable, the Examiner will continue to examine the full scope of the

pending claims to the extent necessary to determine patentability of these pending claims, i.e.,

extending the search to a reasonable number of the non-elected species, as is the duty according

to M. P. E. P. § 803.02 and 35 U.S.C. § 121. It is further noted that Applicants are entitled to

consideration of claims to additional species which depend or otherwise require all the

limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Finally, it is respectfully submitted that Applicants will be entitled to request rejoinder of

method claims of invention Groups IV to VII commensurate in scope with the allowable product

claims of invention Group I pursuant to the guidelines set forth in MPEP § 821.04(b).

SSL0080 US PCT

-3 of 4-

Attorney Docket No.: SSL0080 US PCT

Application No.: 10/511,040 Examiner: Cho, Jennifer Y.

In the event the Examiner wishes to contact the undersigned regarding any matter, please call (collect if necessary) the telephone number listed below.

Applicants believe there are no fees due for this response. However, if the Examiner deems that fees are due, please charge these fees to Deposit Account No. 18-1982 for sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC, Bridgewater, NJ. Please credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 18-1982.

June 26, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Dalayam Gran

Balaram Gupta Registration No. 40,009 Attorney for Applicants

sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC US Patent Operations Route #202-206 / P.O. Box 6800 MAIL STOP: BWD-303A

Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0800 Telephone: 908-231-3364 Telefax: 908-231-2626